The Role of Sensory Integration in Learning: When and Why a child might need a sensory-based occupational therapy evaluation

> Presented by Aubrey Schmalle, OTR/L, SIPT Owner of Sensational Achievements Author of the Body Activated Learning Handbook





- 2.Understand the changing needs of this generation as it relates to screen time/increased use of technology
- 3.Get ideas for questions to ask at PPT meetings and how to advocate for a sensory-based OT IEE
- 4.Expand your understanding of the role of the senses in learning and how you can use that to improve goal specificity and support intervention planning via individual and classroom strategies.



- Strategies to review the scope and limitations of school based testing when requesting an IEE,
- Evaluation of a Sensory Diet Plan and Parent Collaboration under COVID Restrictions
- Experiential learning of possible movement interventions that expand on traditional sensory diets to address the core underlying sensory integration deficits using the Body Activated Learning framework.

Medical or Educational?

- 1. Educationally-based OT supports ACCESS to the curriculum and school environment With COVID and distance learning, this includes the home environment now.
- 2. Ocularmotor issues and visual-motor issues are within an OT's scope of practice and directly Impacts self-regulation, navigation of the environment, and visual motor/graphomotor skills



Reviewing OT Evaluations

- 1.Areas evaluated Do they match the educational concerns? writing, behaviors, self-help, self-regulation
- 2. Standardized testing, Rating Scales, Clinical Observation
- 3. Sensory Profile or Sensory Processing Measure



OT Evaluations: Key Observations

- 1.More description than assessment?
- 2.Are scores interpreted or just reported? Meaning and clinical observation of performance are critical
- 3. Rating scales or direct standardized testing? (Perception vs performance)
- 4.Writing samples under different conditions?
- 5. Do other evaluations note areas of motor, perceptual, or writing weakness when OT does not?

Not Enough Information

- 1.One line with score report: How was the score achieved? Time based? Performance?
- 2. Does the score reflect the issue? Vision deficits can drive down visual motor scores; Writing under one condition doesn't reflect all writing demands in school;
- 3.Does the rating match the behavior? Scores on a sensory profile are categorized but there is often more than one explanation for a behavior (Ex: Seeking Input versus a praxis deficit)

Scores Only: What do they mean?

Sensory Processing Measure - Home

	Raw	T- Score	Performance
Social Participation	28	69	Some Problems
Vision	27	74	Definite Dysfunction
Hearing	10	56	Typical
Touch	19	64	Some Problems
Taste and Smell	N/A	N/A	N/A
Body Awareness	14	57	Definite Dysfunction
Balance and Motion	24	98	Definite Dysfunction
Planning and Ideas	27	99	Definite Dysfunction
Total	90	63	Some Problems

Sensory: Not Enough Information

- 1. One line with score report: What does it mean when a Sensory Profile comes out "more than others?" Or "Some Problems"
- 2.Does the score reflect the issue? Seeking behaviors could be praxis, visual sensitivity could be a binocular vision deficit - was that differentiated?
- 3. Does the therapist have expertise in sensory processing or is certified in sensory integration? Ask for a CV. Programmatic certifications are not the same as knowing how to assess sensory processing deficits.
- 5. How is the therapist using the information to add supports or influence the sensory diet plan?

Sensory: SIPT Testing

- 1.Assesses praxis skills: Specifically somatodyspraxias including difficulties translating verbal or visual information into motor plans impacting direction following, sequencing praxis, and bilateral coordination
- 2.Assesses Visual Motor Skills but visual perception limited: Design copying with and without a grid; Constructional Praxis (building), Space Visualization (mental rotations of shapes), Figure ground
- 3.Looks at Tactile Perception: Can determine if tactile issues are related to defensiveness or lack of perception of tactile information, which can impact visualization skills with multi sensory learning

Identifying Motor Deficits Accurately

- 1.Bruininks Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency misleading because fine motor includes drawing on this test; Age band too wide. Normed 4:0-18:0 but tasks most easily performed by 7-9 year olds.
- 2. Miller Functional and Assessment Scales: Comprehensive. Breaks up score profiles for motor planning, coordination, bimanual skills, strength, etc. Includes some writing, cutting, praxis, gross and fine motor skills. Two age bands 2:11-4:0 and 4:0-7:11
- 4. Movement ABC: Four age bands; fewer tests for fine motor, hand-eye coordination, and gross motor but more accurate with age banding.
- 6.GOAL: Assessment is very functional for life skills combination lock clothing, walking in cafeteria, organizing a binder



Handwriting Concerns

- 1. Copying is fine Does not need OT. Why Not?
- 2. **Typing is more important Why get OT?** Typing has not replaced writing yet. Still needs to be functional
- 3.All the kids are learning to type Its not a deficit. -Finger isolation and dexterity continue to develop through elementary years. Scanning for letters and keys can increase distraction. Inefficient typing can impact responses on timed testing

Writing: Not Enough Information

- 1.Writing is only assessed with the VMI and a writing sample. Visual Motor integration is NOT a measure of writing abilities.
- 2.Did the OT look at writing under different conditions? Notes, copying, dictation, composition, lines, no lines?
- 3.If writing changes, why? A good letter legibility score does not mean writing is legible

4.Does a child have a dysgraphia? If so, what kind?

Elements of Handwriting

- 1.Visual Motor Integration: use of vision to guide motor response in relation to the accurate formation of shapes/ designs\
- 2. **Visual Perception** Includes visual discrimination, visual closure, visual memory, figure-ground, form constancy
- 3. Fine motor coordination and finger isolation Ability to coordinate finger movements with and without resistance
- 4.**Thumb strength/stability; Pencil control** ability to engage the thumb for dynamic finger movement with adequate pressure and coordination

Elements of Handwriting

- 1. Orthographic memory recalling formation of letters from memory
- 2. **Directionality** left/right awareness, midline crossing, spatial orientation
- 3. Motor Planning how to form/build letters accurately to correct kinetic reversals
- 4. **Planning/Organization** how to organize words on a page spatially and sequentially

Resource: <u>https://www.sbcc.sg/handwriting/</u>



Copying Samples for writing

- Attention shifting loses place
- Skips words with copying
- Orientation to the margin,
- Use of boundaries and anchoring
- Difference between copying and writing from memory?









Standardized Tests: Visual Motor/Perception

1.Beery VMI -

- 1.Visual Motor
- 2.Visual Perception: Discrimination
- 3.Motor Coordination

3.DVTP-2 vs DVTP-3:

- 1. 2nd Edition has Visual Discrimination, Figure Ground, Form Constancy, and Visual Closure
- 3. 3rd Edition has only Figure Ground, Form Constancy, and Visual Closure
- 5.**TVPS** Most comprehensive Visual Discrimination, Visual Memory, Spatial Relations, Form Constancy, Sequential Memory, Figure Ground, Visual Closure

Limitations of VMI Testing

- Visual Motor Limited number of test items for younger children. If can't form diagonals, score significantly impacted.
- 2. **Visual Perception:** Discrimination: Affected by size and closeness of figures. Children with vision deficits may do poorly because the of amount of visual information on the page, not because this is the problem area yet ocular motor skills may not have been tested
- 3. Motor Coordination Is the problem that the child does not use vision to guide pencil control or that the child does not have pencil control due to fine motor issues and/or hand weakness? Is there a motor planning issue?

Testing with Handwriting Concerns

- 1. Test of Handwriting Skills (THS-R)
- 2. Writing/copying/dictation samples
- 3. M-FUN
- 4. ETCH
- 5. Print Tool (Handwriting without Tears)



Things to Know

- 1. If the issue is not visual motor or fine motor, scores on those tests may be average even though handwriting is an area of concern look deeper
- Fine motor scores often don't reflect strength and pencil control as many don't have resistive activities (M-FUN excepted)
- Kids may do well on one or more aspects for writing with standardized testing but it's not functional for a classroom

Accommodations and Supports

- Slant Boards: 45 degree angle for reading and writing
- Highlighted Boundaries
- Visual Contrasts
- Reduce visual content on a page
- Increase font size and space between words
- Google drive features highlighting text and read aloud



Medical or Educational?

- 1.Sensory processing and integration impacts more than just self-regulation
- 2.Sensory Diets are only one type of support
- 3. Many sensory diets do not take into account vision-related issues

Social Concerns but no writing issues: Request a Sensory OT Evaluation

- Opting out on the playground, controlling, being the director
- Talking over doing
- Aversion to specific environments: Cafeteria, Music class, Art, Gym
- · Lack of personal space, bumping into peers
- Poor eye contact
- Difficulty working on group projects
- Poor self-regulation and stress tolerance
- Poor independent task completion



Sensory Processing Disorders and Social Participation

- Patterns of sensory avoiding and sensory sensitivity on the Sensory Profile displayed the strongest correlations between social performance and sensory processing (Hilton, Graver, & LaVesser, 2007)
- Sensory processing deficits often have an impact on social performance which can alter the experiences during peer interactions
- Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder spent more time alone and were often on-lookers in social, motor-based play (Smyth & Anderson, 2000)
- Children who self-select out of social opportunities due to motor deficits narrow their experiences around which to form language



How??

- A. Modify a child's regulatory state prior to task demands
- B. Facilitate more lasting changes to sensory processing through targeted exercises
- C. Use active engagement to facilitate motor learning
- D. Allow for recovery from skill to sustain participation over time





















Revising the Current Sensory Diet

- What is being embedded by the teacher with COVID? Is it accessible?
- Does the child need their own tools/have them assigned? What is no longer accessible that. Must be supplemented at home?
- Are they using only Zones of Regulation or ALERT?
- Did they give a teacher a menu or list of "sensory reinforcers"?



Supporting Self Regulation

- Sensory Snacks (quick supports)
- Sensory Breaks (3-4 longer movement breaks)
- Supportive Leisure (Daily/Weekly)
- Environmental Modifications: Time, tolerance, safe spaces, toolbox











Self Awareness in Adolescents What wakes me up and gets me ready for the day? Do I need to calm down because something important is happening that day? (Testing/Assembly

• How much can I handle and when do I need to regroup?





















Optimizing Treatment Sessions

- Set up an new routine that incorporates all elements of the Body Activated Learning framework
- Provide environmental affordances for exploration and sensational-self awareness
- Modify learning and treatment activities based on a child's need to Activate certain connections for learning and participation

ABA and Autism: Key Planning Considerations

- Proactive movement prior to ABA/DTT
- Reinforcers separate from proactive movement
- Regulating sensory activities and supports
- Type and set up of communication devices

Autism: Key Planning Considerations

- Sensory over-responsivities and need for accommodations
- Ability to use vision to guide body movement
- Perseveration on visual stims and videos
- Severity of dyspraxia







1011 High Ridge Road, Suite 300 Stamford, CT 06905 (203) 200-7256

Aubrey Schmalle, OTR/ L

aubrey@sensational-achievements.com

Copywright 2015 Sensational Achievements, LLC